

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,499	06/27/2003	Jian Tao	A-8274 .RNFMP/bh	5931	
7	7590 10/07/2005		EXAMINER		
Mitchell, Silb	erberg & Knupp LLP	MULCAHY, PETER D			
	ympic Boulevard CA 90064-1683		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER -	
,			1713		
			DATE MAILED, 10/07/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	. /	•	.h/-			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
	10/607,499	TAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M , cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	* *	nerits is			
Disposition of Claims		<u>.</u>				
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7,9,10,13 and 15</u> is/are pending	in the application.	; ;				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		:				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7,9,10,13 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		:				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			` '			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTC	<i>)</i> -152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	_					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies no	ot received.				
		:				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/ Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/607,499

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 7, 9 and 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biesiada et al. or Lang et al. or Ohachi.
- 4. The rejection set forth in the previous office action is proper and is herein repeated. The limitation of the plasticizer does not render the claims patentable. This is a known plasticizer and has been used in PVC materials. It would be obvious to use this plasticizer in the compositions given that it is listed and the art directs one to select the plasticizers from the list.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over over Biesiada et al. or Lang et al. or Ohachi taken in view of Nishi.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,499

Art Unit: 1713

6. The rejection set forth in the previous office action is proper and is herein repeated. The limitation of the plasticizer does not render the claims patentable. This is a known plasticizer and has been used in PVC materials. It would be obvious to use this plasticizer in the compositions given that it is listed and the art directs one to select the plasticizers from the list. The formation of gloves is obvious from the Nishi patent.

Page 3

- 7. Claims 3, 4, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biesiada et al. or Lang et al. or Ohachi taken in view of Tada and Nishi.
- 8. The rejection set forth in the previous office action is proper and is herein repeated. The primary references render the claimed material obvious for the reasons advanced supra. Tada shows the use of the claimed crosslinking agent. The formation of gloves remains obvious from Nishi et al. Applicants do not argue this rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1713

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> narv Examiner Art Unit 1/713

pdm 9/30/05